REMARKS

In an Office Action dated August 3, 2000, Examiner objected to claim 2 under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c) as being of improper dependent form. In response, Applicant herein has amended claim to be dependent upon claim 1.

Applicant notes that this Amendment is intended to be supplemental to (and does not replace) the Amendment A that Applicant mailed on November 2, 2000.

Applicant believes that this Amendment has placed the present case in condition for allowance and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, DAVE GOH, ET AL.

Douglas L. Weller Reg. No. 30,506

November 2, 2000 Santa Clara, California (408) 985-0642